

2020-02-13

SHARE_IMS_requirements_2020_Fort-Worth_Texas

SHARE_IMS_v15_WADS_zHyperLink_Write-IO_User_Requirements

<https://www.share.org/page/requirements-faqs#>

Concise one line Proposed Solution

IMS v15 WADS logging should use zHyperlink (ZHL) protocol supported by the DFSMS Media Manager I/O driver and ZHL for both reads and writes to boost performance.

Concise one line Problem Summary

IMS v15 WADS logging write I/O does not exploit DFSMS Media Manager zHyperLink I/O protocol even though DB2 logging does exploit this evolutionary performance advantage.

Component: **IMS v15 GA 2017-10-27**

Background

The IMS system software has exploited/relied upon the DFSMS Media Manager I/O driver for IMS *Fast Path* (4K CISZ) since IMS v2 in the late 1980s.

The IMS logging of read/write I/O to IMS WADS datasets is a response-time critical stage of transaction processing that is important to IMS thrupt---even a thrupt-limiting factor for an IMS subsystem.

Recognizing this reality the IBM designers of IMS v15 improved IMS v15 logging to make VSAM Linear Datasets (LDS, CISZ=4K) format the compulsory format for WADS datasets. Henceforth IMS v15 would broaden its exploitation of the DFSMS Media Manager I/O driver for IMS logging I/O to WADS datasets and thus leverage underlying features such as the ZHPF transport mode I/O protocol for improved logging performance and channel efficiency.

Problem Description

Need IMS v15 system software to leverage the subsequent evolutionary performance gains from DFSMS Media Manager support for zHyperLink (ZHL) I/O protocol: DB2 can do it. Shouldn't IMS?

At the time when IMS v15 designers adopted the DFSMS Media Manager I/O driver for WADS I/O the zHyperLink protocol *may* have been limited to Read I/O---and not yet able to support Write I/O. The IMS WADS is write-intensive so to IMS designers in 2017 the zHyperLink protocol may not have seemed beneficial.

But DFSMS Media Manager ZHL protocol support of qualifying Write I/O was available by mid-2019. Accordingly it is an IMS v15 deficit—a problem—that IMS v15 development has not yet followed through with exploitation of ZHL protocol for IMS v15 WADS I/O.

DB2 supports an I/O performance feature called *zHyperWrite* for Metro Mirror configurations even when the secondary is within 150 meters. So does IMS v15.

DB2 supports *zHyperLink* for read I/O and for write I/O. But IMS v15 does not.

Some transactions update both DB2 and IMS resources and so if IMS logging can not keep pace with DB2 logging that is exploiting *zHyperLink* then IMS could be the limiting factor in thruput.

Use Case: What is the impact if the vendor does not provide a solution?

On Business

If IMS v15 developers do not provide a solution in the service stream then a perception is being created that IMS is being eclipsed by DB2. A company might choose to phase out IMS.

On the End User

The impact on end-users is too indirect to matter to them—although end-users will notice when response times do not meet their expectations or when transaction processing systems with millions of mobile end-user nodes are thruput-limited.

Are there alternatives that have been implemented or considered?

DB2 is always being considered as an alternative to IMS.

Suggested Solution:

IMS v15 developers should consider fulfilling the IMS designers' vision for WADS in Linear Dataset format and broaden IMS's existing exploitation of the DFSMS Media Manager I/O driver to leverage *zHyperlink* (ZHL) for IMS WADS. Then, with that GA, IMS developers should consider ZHL for IMS databases defined in the format(s) supported by DFSMS Media Manager I/O driver. For instance, DB2 objects in linear datasets with 4K CISZ are capable of leveraging ZHL. Can IMS do it too?

Key Words: IBM IMS v15 WADS logging performance thruput throughput latency ZHPF DB2 DFSMS Media Manager I/O driver *zHyperLink* ZHL sysplex online RRS transaction